

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/059,235	01/31/2002		Kiyoshi Nakade	2002_0087A	6549	
513	7590	10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
WENDER	OTH, LIND	& PONACK, L.	MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H			
2033 K STR	EET N. W.					
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	TON, DC 2	0006-1021	3765			

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		- (
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/059,235		NAKADE, KIYOSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert H Murom		3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence address	•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	January 2002 .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-f	inal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				s is			
·	ion of Claims		,					
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application		4:					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9 and 16-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 10-15</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment					
	ion Papers	or election require	men.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		tion.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	-				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		ation).			
_a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional applicati	on has been rec	eived.				
Attachmen		, ,	- 33					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	··			

Application/Control Number: 10/059,235

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 8, 9, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert et al.

In the instant application, applicant discloses "A conventional slasher is provided with a moistening device for moistening warp yarns before sizing the warp yarns. Moistening the warp yarns before sizing increases the effect of sizing subsequent to moistening and hence the necessary amount of size can be reduced. The moistening device immerses warp yarns in water contained in a water tank and squeezes the warp yarns with squeeze rollers…". So the recited limitations of claim 1 are all known as conventional elements as recited by the applicant except for the recited moistening unit of the instant invention using a sprinkling or spray system rather than a water tank.

However, Lambert et al. teaches a low wet pickup fabric finishing apparatus.

Lambert uses an atomized spray system to produce a low wet pickup, uniformly finished fabric. Lambert uses two spray nozzles on opposing sides of the fabric to produce a

Application/Control Number: 10/059,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

uniform spray pattern. The resultant fabric has a uniform finish and is produced with lower energy costs not seen in previous methods for wet finishing fabric.

As fabrics are a conglomeration of yarns, it is considered by the examiner that wet finishing of fabrics is well within the same problem solving area as wetting of warp yarns.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a spray system to achieve a uniform wet pickup on yarns and fabrics.

As for the recitation that "hot water" is sprinkled on the warp yarns, it is well known that textile processing such as slashing and sizing is performed at relatively high temperatures so it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use hot water as the moistening solution for a slashing process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7 and 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 4-7 and 10-15 would be allowable because these claims require an otherwise conventional slasher machine to include a nozzle spray system with specific construction orientations, adjustability, and movability.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/059,235

Art Unit: 3765

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bernert teaches a spray finishing system, Hess teaches a device for coating a moving medium, and Nakade teaches sizing and slashing methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-306-5503. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

bhm

JOHN J. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700